## Save 151 Days in IRB Review with a Seamless, Coordinated Submission Process



WCG IRB has contractual relationships with over 3,100 academic medical centers, hospitals and research institutions. Our partners in clinical research leverage this network to efficiently drive submission and review activity, and streamline trial activation. In the example below, we examine a study that did not leverage that centralized network, and show the comparative benefits if the study had leveraged WCG IRB for streamlined review and centralized process.



## **151**

days could have been saved eliminating redundant protocol review.

1

IRB to oversee all of your institutions and private practice sites.

## **EXAMPLE: PHASE II STUDY**

This study was conducted without using the WCG IRB central submission process, adding significantly to their IRB review turnaround time (TAT):



67 central sites (1 day TAT each)

28 sites each submitting protocol and site application individually to WCG IRB (15 day TAT each - submitted over XX weeks)

**TOTAL TAT - 487 DAYS** 

If the study had been submitted centrally through WCG IRB:



67 central sites (1 day TAT each)

28 sites submit directly to WCG IRB (3 day TAT each - concurrent review)

**TOTAL TAT - 336 DAYS** 

